Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/578,149	SLIVAR, DJURO	
Examiner	Art Unit	
AMANDA BARROW	1729	

AN	IANDA BARROW	1729	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears	on the cover sheet with the	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 07 November 2011 FAILS TO PLACE THIS AF	PLICATION IN CONDITION F	OR ALLOWANCE.	
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the this application, applicant must timely file one of the following places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance w time periods:	same day as filing a Notice of replies: (1) an amendment, af of Appeal (with appeal fee) in	Appeal. To avoid aba fidavit, or other eviden compliance with 37 Cl	ce, which FR 41.31; or (3)
 a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of t b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advis no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). One of the period for reply expire later 	ory Action, or (2) the date set forth than SIX MONTHS from the mailir	g date of the final rejection	on.
TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.0 Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on v have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extens under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the short set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later that may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	7(f). hich the petition under 37 CFR 1. on and the corresponding amount ened statutory period for reply oric	136(a) and the appropria of the fee. The appropri jinally set in the final Offi	te extension fee ate extension fee ce action; or (2) as
NOTICE OF APPEAL		.	
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in complian filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extensio a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed with AMENDMENTS 	n thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	o avoid dismissal of th	e appeal. Since
3. X The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but	orior to the date of filing a brief	, will <u>not</u> be entered b	ecause
 (a) ☐ They raise new issues that would require further considers (b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below); 	eration and/or search (see NC	TE below);	
(c) ☑ They are not deemed to place the application in better to appeal; and/or			the issues for
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a corr		jected claims.	
NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.116 a			(DTOL 004)
 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): 	See attached Notice of Non-Co	ompliant Amendment ((PTOL-324).
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allow non-allowable claim(s).	able if submitted in a separate	timely filed amendme	nt canceling the
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provide. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:	will not be entered, or b) 🔲 w d below or appended.	ill be entered and an e	explanation of
Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: <u>2-5,17 and 18</u> . Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: <u>7-16</u> .			
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but be because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and su was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).			
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a N entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overshowing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary an	come <u>all</u> rejections under appe	al and/or appellant fai	ls to provide a
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER			•
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but do	es NOT place the application i	n condition for allowar	nce because:
12. ☐ Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i> (s). (PTo 13. ☑ Other: See Attached Interview Summary.	O/SB/08) Paper No(s)		
/ULA C. RUDDOCK/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 1729	/AMANDA BARROW/ Examiner, Art Unit 1729		

Continuation of 3. NOTE: The new issues that would require further consideration and search are that, "A cylindrical separator for cylindrical body constituted by a layered structure of a plurality of turns of at least a non-woven sheet material placed onto one another and wound together without any binder." Specifically, this amendment has not been considered as the claims are reciting that the plurality of turns are placed onto one another and wound together without any binder which has not previously been recited. This would require further search, and also consideration of the newly recited limitations as new matter as the specification would have to be reviewed to conclude whether or not it supports the turns of the separator being placed onto one another as presently claimed. Had the amendment placed ALL of the limitations of claim 2 (wherein said cylindrical body is made from a plurality of sheets placed onto one another and wound together without any binder), the amendment would have been entered and considered.